UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re:	Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846
City of Detroit, Michigan,	Honorable Thomas J. Tucker
Debtor.	Chapter 9

REPLY IN SUPPORT OF DEBTOR'S THIRTIETH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CERTAIN CLAIMS

(INSUFFICIENT DOCUMENTATION)

The City of Detroit ("<u>City</u>"), by its undersigned counsel, files this reply in support of its *Thirtieth Omnibus Objection to Certain Claims* ("<u>Objection</u>," Doc. No. 10786), stating as follows:

- 1. On February 26, 2016, the City filed its Objection. The following individuals filed responses to the Objection:¹
 - (a) Response filed by George M. Pieprzyk [Doc. No. 10903] ("<u>Pieprzyk Response</u>").² Pieprzyk's proof of claim and his response to the Objection are attached as Exhibits 1 and 2.
 - (b) Response filed by Jacqueline Knowles [Doc. No. 10863] ("Knowles Response"; and collectively with the Pieprzyk

¹ Additional responses were filed, but all other responses were either stricken for filing deficiencies or will be handled via a separate procedure recently approved by the Court. [Doc. No. 10941.] As noted below, both Responses are subject to pending deficiency notices.

² A deficiency noticed was entered by the Court on March 23, 2016. [Doc. No. 10918]. The deficiency has not yet been cured.

Response, the "<u>Responses</u>").³ Knowles's proof of claim and his response to the Objection are attached as Exhibits 3 and 4.

Pieprzyk Response

2. The Pieprzyk Response should be overruled. The Objection stated that Pieprzyk's proof of claim lacked any documentation showing that the City owed him the money claimed.⁴ His proof of claim states that he is owed \$140,000 for "30 years of service ½ of my life" as well as for stress and other reasons. Ex. 1. In response to the Objection, he states "I George M Pieprzyk do not want the court to eliminate or change my claim # 1906." Ex. 2. Neither filing constitutes documentation evidencing any claim against the City at all, much less a claim in the amount of \$140,000. The Objection should be sustained as to this claim.

Knowles Response

3. The Knowles Response also should be overruled. The Objection objected to Knowles's proof of claim because it lacked any documentation showing that the City owed her \$18,150. Knowles's proof of claim simply states that the City owes her for "Loss of financial income, Forfeit of contract by City of Detroit." Ex. 3. The Knowles Response provides no further assistance in understanding the basis for her claim. In the Knowles Response, Knowles

³ A deficiency noticed was entered by the Court on March 21, 2016. [Doc. No. 10869]. The deficiency has not yet been cured.

⁴ Indeed, Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 3001(c)(1) notes that a claim based on writings must be filed with the documentation supporting it.

expresses anger that the City filed for bankruptcy protection, but provides no documentation explaining why the City owes her money. Ex. 4. As such, it fails to respond to the Objection, and the Objection should be upheld as to this claim.

CONCLUSION

For these reasons, the City asks the Court to overrule the Responses and sustain the City's Objection to these claims.

Dated: March 25, 2016

By: /s/ Marc N. Swanson

Jonathan S. Green (P33140)

Marc N. Swanson (P71149)

MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK AND

STONE, P.L.C.

150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500

Detroit, Michigan 48226

Telephone: (313) 496-7591

Facsimile: (313) 496-8451

green@millercanfield.com

swansonm@millercanfield.com

Charles N. Raimi (P29746)

Deputy Corporation Counsel

City of Detroit Law Department

2 Woodward Avenue, Suite 500

Coleman A. Young Municipal Center

Detroit, Michigan 48226

Telephone: (313) 237-5037

Facsimile: (313) 224-5505

raimic@detroitmi.gov

ATTORNEYS FOR THE CITY OF DETROIT

B10 (Official Form 10) (04/13) (Modified)						
UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT EASTER	CHAPTER 9 PROOF OF CLAIM					
Name of Debtor: City of Detroit, Michigan	Case Number: 13-53846	FILEN				
NOTE: Do not use this form to make a claim for an administrative expense						
Name of Creditor (the person or other entity to whom the debtor owes money of	2014 FFB 20 TX 10: 30					
Name and address where notices should be sent PRZ HE GEORGE M FULLERTON DETRUCTOR DETR	mol	Check this box if this claim amends a previously filed claims. PTCY COURT Court Claim Number N-0FTROIT (If known) Filed on:				
Name and address where payment should be sent (if different from above):		Check this box if you are aware that				
Telephone number: email:		anyone else has filed a proof of claim relating to this claim. Attach copy of statement giving plicate the copy of				
1. Amount of Claim as of Date Case Filed:	000	FEB Z 4 2014				
If all or part of the claim is secured, complete item 4. If all or part of the claim is entitled to priority, complete item 5.	and a second	XURTZMAN CARSON CONSULTANTS				
☐ Check this box if the claim includes interest or other charges in addition to the	e principal amount of the claim. Attach a s	statement that itemizes interest or charges.				
2. Basis for Claim: 30 YEARS of SERVICE (See instruction #2)	to my hite	Physical brox				
3. Lest form divite of any anyther by which evadites identifies debtor:	3a. Debtor may have scheduled accou (See instruction #3a)	nt as:				
4. Secured Claim (See instruction #4) Check the appropriate box if the claim is secured by a lien on property or a right of setoff, attach required reducted documents, and provide the requested information. Amount of arrearage and other charges, as of the time case was filed, included in secured claim, if any: \$						
Nature of property or right of setoff: Theal Estate Motor Vehicle Ott Describe:	her Basis for perfection:					
Value of Property: \$	Amount of Secured Claim:	\$				
Annual Interest Rate (when case was filed) % Fixed or Variab	le Amount Unsecured:	\$ _{glassonia}				
5. Amount of Claim Entitled to Priority as an Administrative Expense and	er 11 U.S.C. §§ 503(b)(9) and 507(a)(2).	\$				
5h. Amount of Claim Otherwise Entitled to Priority. Specify Applicable Section of 11 U.S.C. § \$						
6. Credits. The amount of all payments on this claim has been credited for the	purpose of making this proof of claim. (See	e instruction #6)				
7. Documents: Attached are redacted copies of any documents that support the running accounts, contracts, judgments, mortgages, security agreements, or, in it statement providing the information required by FRBP 3001(c)(3)(A). If the clair evidence of perfection of a security interest are attached. (See instruction #7, and ATTACHED DOCUMENTS MAY BE DESTROYED AFTER SCANNING. If the documents are not available, please explain:	he case of a claim based on an open-end or im is secured, box 4 has been completed, at	revolving consumer credit agreement, a nd redacted copies of documents providing				
8. Signature: (See instruction # 8) Check the appropriate box.		man dalah				
I am the creditor. I am the creditor's authorized agent. I am the to or their (See Ba	authorized agent. (See Bankrup unkruptcy Rule 3004.)	rantor, surety, indorser, or other codebtor. dey Rule 3005.)				
I declare under penalty of perjury that the information provided in this claim is to Print Name: Seek & M. Richkell St. Title: Field In West Gallo Police Company: West Gallo Police Address and telephone number (if different from notice address above):	Loye M Reproductive to the best of my knowledge (Signature)	e, information, and reasonable belief. 2-15-2014 (Date)				

-53846-tjt Doc 10956 Filed 03/25/16 Entered 03/25/16 14:52:43 Page 6 of

W 3-12-16 I George m Piepseyk Do Nor WANT The COURT TO ELIMINATE OR Charge my Chain # 1906. Levye W. Pregn CASe# 13-5384 = DOG-109568 FIREHOSS/253/166 FIREHOSS/253/166-141-527-436

i	B10 (Official Fo	orm 10) (04/13) (Modifie	d)				
}	UNITED ST	TATES BANKRUP	TCY COLIET	EASTERND	(CTD) OT		•
}	Name of Debtor	City of Date		CHOTERIAD	ISTRICT of MICHIGA	N	CAPIA
t				ive expense that a	Case Number: 13-538	46	- VI STA
1 '	Name and address	tyeline s where notices should be Staheline	_KNIDUTO	es money or prop	uses after the bankruptcy film erty):		FEB 2 0 2014
'	10015	Staheli,	r Rd			O Check	COURT USE ONLY Si Bankraptoy Gour
Tě Na	elephone number ame and address	2-9007 where payment should be	email: LACKIE	-Know	les@vyail.c	Court Ch	MI Eastern District
	\leq	_	the more and	(ve):	Harris	Filed on:_	hie hou if
Tell	ephone number:	16				relating to t	his box if you are aware that has filed a proof of claim his claim. Atlach copy of
į.			email:		•	statement	TEACH Atlach copy of
1. A	mount of Claim	as of Date Case Filed;	1/8/15	0 20	W. Aus	8	POEIVED
If all	or part of the cla or part of the cla leck this box if the	im is secured, complete a	item 4, complete item 5.	ω , ω	09-2013	F	B 2 4 2014
2. Ra	isis for Claim:	1) Committees	or other charges in additio	n to the principal	amount of the claim. Attent	KURTZMAN	CARSON CONSULTANTS
(Se	e instruction #2)	Forbei	F Groan	Cial	amount of the claim. Attach a	statement that ite	mizes interest or charges.
		and number by which c	reditor identifies debtor:	3a. Dehto	r may have scheduled accou	104	Detroit
Check t	ured Claim (See	instruction #4)		(See instru			
Nature o Describe	of property or r e: Property: \$	ight of setoff; []Real Es	by a lien on property or a rovide the requested information of the desired information of the control of the co	nation.	Amount of arrearage and o included in secured claim, i last for perfection:	f any; \$	case was tiled,
		hen case was file-h	% OFixed or OVari	A	mount of Secured Claim:	œ	
5. Amoni	nt of China		% ☐ Fixed or ☐ Vari	able A		•	
	aror Casim Enfi	tled to Priority as an A	dministrative Expense un				
			ILLY. Sheeles Aunte.			5	
		ar paymonts on this claim	m k = - +			5	
Documen	nts: Attached are	reducted copies of any	a has been credited for the	purpose of makin	g this proof of claim. (See ins	truction #6)	
vidence of providence of provi	oviding the inforperfection of a se DOCUMENTS cuts are not available.	mation required by FRB1 curity interest are attache MAY BE DESTROYEL			g this proof of claim. (See insomissory notes, purchase ordinated based on an open-end or revolution of the completed, and recompleted, and recompleted and recompleted.)		ized statements of redit agreement, a documents providing CUMENTS.
ieck the ap	propriate box.	,					
i am the cr	reditor. 🗖 Iam	the creditor's authorized	agent (1) I am at				
Name:	penalty of perjur	y that the information px	or their au (See Bank ovided in this claim is true	istee, or the debto thorized agent, truptcy Rule 3004 and correct to the	(See Bankruptcy Rui	surety, inderser, of le 3005.) mation, and reaso	or other codebtor.
		Deteort f different from notice ac	idress above) (S	(acon)	mal Jan	(Date)	14
one numbe	-313 412	-9Mampil: Mac	Vic v -1	<u> </u>			
P	enalty for presen	ting fraudulent claim: F	ine of up to \$500 000 ~	@ YHai	p to 5 years, or both, 18 U.S.		1
			-, VI 11	as modificat for n	p to 5 years, or both, 18 U.S.	C. 88 152 and 26	71

March 18, 2016

FILED

2016 MAR 21 A 11: 09

U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
E.D. MICHIGAN-DETROIT

Clerk of the Court United States Bankruptcy Court 211 W. Fort Street, Suite 2100 Detroit, MI 48226

Marc N. Swanson Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, PLC 150 West Jefferson, Suite 2500 Detroit, MI 48226

Re: Bankruptcy Case No. 13-53846

Dear, Honorable Thomas J. Tucker:

I am submitting this letter to oppose and prevent the modification, expunge, elimination or disallowing of my claim. The City of Detroit frivolous mismanagement of funds is of no doing by city employees. Therefore city employees should not be punished or held accountable. It was reported Thursday, March 17, 2016 by Channel 4 News that \$50 million dollars was discovered in bond funds which had gone unspent over several decades and \$11.7 million dollars is to be utilized on forty city parks and playgrounds and the remaining funds will pay to build a high-tech real-time crime center and to construct a new 8th Precinct for the Police Department. Yet, the City of Detroit filed bankruptcy and illegally took money from our employee' pension and annuity fund. Many corporations, citizens, and most importantly the employees was misled and misrepresented by city government. City employees' should not be made a scapegoat for the poor decisions, poor investments and unprofessional conduct made by city government. The employees' voiced opinion was disregarded when given the opportunity to elaborate on the irrational decisions made by the city. The accountabilities lies on city government but employees and retirees has endured the burden. The city government has caused financial hardship to many pensioners' and employees' lively hood. The City of Detroit's actions has been unconstitutional, and it would be immoral if city government was not held accountable. All involved in this lawsuit against the city government should be compensated and made hold for the many lives the city have ruined. The City of Detroit have an obligation to represent their employees, citizens, and corporates with the upmost respect, honest and dignity and have failed to do so.

Jaequeline Knowles

Sincere W

City of Detroit, OAIII